Testing the Effectiveness of a Vision Care Program on Falls, Fractures and Quality of Life

Dr. Pamela Hawranik RN PhD, Associate Professor, Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies, Athabasca University
Sandy Bell RN MN, Director Quality, Patient Safety & Education Services, Misericordia Health Centre

Definitions

• Interventions: eye-specific vitamins, new eyeglass prescriptions, cataract surgery, eye drops, photodynamic therapy, avastin therapy, lighting improvements, and magnifiers
• Vision screening tool indicates:
  • Whether a person may benefit from a full eye exam from an optometrist, an ophthalmologist or general practitioner
  • Problems with vision that may be affecting day-to-day activities.
  • Detects vision loss caused from refractive errors, cataracts, macular degeneration, glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy
  • Vision Screening Tool: adapted from the Centre of Eye Research Australia/ WHO Vision Screening Tool

Study Design

• Convenience sample of residents 65 years and older in one long term care facility
• All nursing home residents who participated in a vision screening and intervention program at a long term care facility were approached
• Initial screening done by nurse
• Screening tool detects vision loss and provides the algorithm for appropriate referral to professional for diagnosis & treatment
• After screening by nurse, all residents were assessed by optometrist who was blinded to screening outcome
• Intervention was provided by the appropriate professional after optometrist assessment
• MDS data and other data from screening records were utilized to obtain data on prevalence of falls, fractures, changes in depression, social engagement, balance and quality of life

Background

• Estimates indicate that 20-50% of older people have undetected reduced vision, most of which are correctable or treatable (Smeeth et al, 2003).
• Rates of eye disease and visual impairment among nursing home residents is 3.3 times greater than any other segment of the population (Morer, 1994).
• Vision plays an important role in balance, mobility, falls and cognition in older persons.
• Falls are a major source of death and injury in the elderly (Harwood et al, 2005).
• Hip fractures are the most common fracture, the most devastating and the most costly to the health care system to treat (Kannus & Khan, 2001).
• In Manitoba, of the $819 million per year spent on unintentional injuries, $335 million is related to falls with $164 million devoted to treating falls among the elderly (Papadimitropoulos et al., 1997)

Current Vision Care Guidelines

•Canada and Manitoba:
  • No specific guidelines
  • Vision has not been deemed a "medically necessary health service"
  • Lack of access and referral in the long term care setting

Objectives

• To identify prevalence of vision disorders.
• To test reliability and validity of the vision screening tool.
• To compare prevalence of falls, fractures, and various quality of life characteristics before and after treatment intervention.

Sample Characteristics

• Sample Characteristics   N=92
  • Female   n=76 (82.6%)
  • Age   Range = 63-102
  • Vision diagnosis at admission   n= 18 (19.5%)
  • Wore glasses n=69 (75%)
  • No recorded data on last eye exam or if one had taken place   n=70 (75%)
  • Fell in past 6 months n=19 (20%)

Findings

Reliability and Validity of Tool
• Screening by Nurse found 77 with vision impairment
• Optometrist identified 79 with vision impairment
    - Amount of agreement between nurse and optometrist: 97.5% agreement
• Nurse referred 52 for ophthalmology and 25 for optometry
• Optometrist identified 49 for ophthalmology and 28 for optometry
    - Strength of association between nurse and optometrist assessment: .799 (excellent)
Prevalence of vision impairment
• 74 did not have a vision impairment diagnosis at admission or in other words, only 18 had a diagnosis
• Upon screening, 72 were found with vision impairment
Results of those who had an intervention (n=17)
• Significant improvement in level of depression, social engagement, balance and quality of life
• No occurrences of falls or fractures
• No occurrences of critical incidents
Results of those who received no intervention (n=29)
    ▪ Falls occurred in 18
    ▪ Critical incidents occurred in 8
    ▪ Types of fractures: hip 5, wrist 2, ribs 1
    ▪ Deaths: 3
Reasons for not receiving intervention:
    ▪ Mobility and transfer difficulties
    ▪ Ophthalmologist/Resident/Family stated intervention not needed or wanted:
        "don't use vision much in a nursing home".
        "won't make any difference".
    ▪ Deceased
    ▪ No reason given
2 predictors of falls post-intervention
    i) If had a previous fall (O.R.= 3.5, 1.06 – 11.2)
    ii) if refused or did not receive intervention (O.R.=3.09, 1.13 – 8.44)

Conclusion

• The screening tool was easily used by the nurses
• The screening tool was highly reliable and valid in detecting vision loss
• The screening tool had high validity in referring to appropriate professional
• Those who had intervention had no falls/fractures and displayed improvements in at least one or more MDS and quality of life assessment categories
• Those who had no intervention were at greater risk for falls and fractures than those who obtained intervention
• Vision care is a major gap in the care of older adults in nursing homes

• Project and research results: www.misericordia.mb.ca